RHODORA, Vol. 103, No. 915, pp. 245-262, 2001

CHECKLIST OF SPHAGNUM IN NEW HAMPSHIRE

NATALIE L. CLEAVITT

Department of Natural Resources, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853 Current Address: Department of Biological Sciences, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta T6G 2E9, Canada e-mail: cleavitt@ualberta.ca

RICHARD E. ANDRUS

Department of Biological Sciences, State University of New York, Binghamton, NY 13901

DANIEL D. SPERDUTO AND WILLIAM F. NICHOLS New Hampshire Natural Heritage Program, Department of Resources and Economic Development P.O. Box 1856, Concord, NH 03302

WILLIAM R. TOWN

The Paludological Society, Inc., P.O. Box 181, Clifton Park, NY 12065

Forty-three species and two subspecies of Sphagnum are re-ABSTRACT. ported for New Hampshire, including fifteen species and one subspecies doc-

umented from herbarium records and recent collections. Diversity at county and biophysical subsection (ecoregion) levels is presented and areas that remain undercollected in the state are highlighted. New Hampshire has a great diversity of Sphagnum species, reflecting the diversity of climatic, geologic, and environmental characteristics of the state. Several species with restricted distributions are discussed, and habitats critical to these species are described for future conservation efforts.

Key Words: Sphagnum, New Hampshire

The distribution of sphagna in New Hampshire can be interpreted in terms of the particular phytogeographic and habitat affinities of each species. In general, plant species with alpine, boreal, montane, eastern deciduous forest, and coastal plain affinities are all well represented in New Hampshire (Sperduto 1996; Weatherbee and Crow 1990). Plant assemblages in the state reflect not only these broad-scale climate-driven phytogeographic affinities, but also finer scale differences in hydrologic and nutrient regimes (Sperduto 1997, 2000). Peatlands are frequent within the mosaic of upland acidic forests on till (e.g., spruce-

245

Rhodora

[Vol. 103

fir, northern hardwood, and transitional hardwood–conifer forests). The dominant peatlands are open and acidic. Despite the predominance of acidic conditions, circumneutral to calcareous communities are well represented in smaller patches, including calcareous fens and swamps. In sum, 43 wetland types have been described from New Hampshire (Sperduto 1996).

Sphagnum is found as a minor component in many of New Hampshire's wetland community types. Peat mosses achieve greatest importance and diversity in four wetland systems in the state: open bogs, open fens, forested basin swamps, and forested seepage swamps. These systems include 13 of the 43 wetland types based on characteristic vegetation and environmental conditions (Sperduto 1997, 2000). Peatland types range from alpine to coastal and from acidic to calcareous. This checklist is the first to include collection sites representing all wetland types present in the state. Prior to the present study, D. C. Eaton and E. Faxon made the most concerted effort to document Sphagnum species in New Hampshire. Eaton and Faxon collected Sphagnum in the northern counties of Coös and Grafton in the 1880s and 1890s (Reid 1987). The results were published in two exsiccatae: North American Sphagna (N.A.S.) collected by E. Faxon and Sphagna Boreali-Americana (S.B.A.) collected by D. C. Eaton and E. Faxon. Fifty-six of the 172 numbered specimens in S.B.A. were collected in New Hampshire. William G. Farlow was also an important early collector of Sphagnum in the state. More recent collections include those of A. R. Hodgdon in the 1950s and 1960s from Strafford and Carroll counties and Holcombe (1979), who reported the first New Hampshire collection of S. angermanicum from Ethan Pond in the White Mountains. There are only two previous lists that include New Hampshire Sphagnum species. The first is Sphagnaceae of New England reporting 27 species (Andrews 1906) and the second is a preliminary list of New Hampshire mosses that includes five Sphagnum species (Allen 1992). Together these lists report 29 species for the state. The general scarcity of collections and their concentration in the White Mountain area has resulted in a severe lack of documentation for the majority of the state.

The purposes of this paper are: 1) to present a checklist for *Sphagnum* species in New Hampshire based on herbarium specimen annotations and field collections (Appendix); 2) to discuss

currently known species distributions and habitat restrictions within the state; and 3) to highlight current and potential threats to the diverse *Sphagnum* flora of New Hampshire.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

New Hampshire spans 290 km of latitude and an elevation range from sea level to 1912 m at the summit of Mount Wash-

ington, the highest point in northeastern North America. On average, the state's climate is cool-temperate, with extreme local variation. Average annual temperatures range from 5 to 7.8°C throughout most of the state; however, the average annual temperature is -3°C on Mount Washington. July temperatures average 18.9 to 21°C while January temperatures average -5.2 to -9.1°C. Precipitation is fairly evenly distributed throughout the seasons. Total annual precipitation varies from 104 to 112 cm across most of the state, with a high of 178 cm on Mount Washington. Annual average snowfall varies from 127 cm on the coast to 165 to 178 cm inland and 470 cm on Mount Washington. Length of the growing season varies from less than 105 days in the north to 140 days in the south. Eighty-three percent of the state is still covered by forest. New Hampshire ranks 47th out of 50 states in wetland area. Ninety-three percent of the original wetland areas are still in existence (Dahl 1990). The checklist is based on both herbarium and recently collected specimens (see Appendix). All Sphagnum specimens from New Hampshire located at BING, BH, and NHA were examined, as well as selected specimens from NY, FH, and YU. In addition, over 1500 collections were made by the authors from 86 wetland sites. Field collections were initiated in 1994, largely at the A. Le Roy Andrews Foray. During this foray several coastal plain wetland sites were collected including the Ponemah bog and fen system, Hampstead Atlantic white cedar swamp, Dead Pond in Pawtuckaway State Park, and Spruce Pond at Bear Brook State Park. In 1999, the A. Le Roy Andrews Foray was held again in New Hampshire and selected collections by Andrus from sites in Carroll County are included here. Through 1994 and 1995, Cleavitt conducted bryophyte surveys of the Hubbard Brook Experimental Forest (Cleavitt and Fahey 1996) and six Research Natural Areas (RNAs) within the White Mountain National Forest (Cleavitt 1996). Sperduto and Nichols collected Sphagnum during state-

a. Counties



Rhodora

b. Biophysical Subsections

[Vol. 103

Maps of New Hampshire showing a county boundaries, and b. Figure 1. biophysical subsections (heavy lines) in relation to county boundaries (fine lines).

wide wetland surveys during the years 1994-1998 and 1997-1998. United States Department of Agriculture Forest Service ecoregion subsections (Keys and Carpenter 1995) and vegetation units (Sperduto 1997, 2000) within the state were used to help direct field work and identify potential information gaps. Sphagnum species are reported in a conventional manner according to county distribution (Figure 1a) and based on ten biophysical subsections (ecoregions) defined by the U.S. Forest Service (Keys and Carpenter 1995; Figure 1b). Subsections represent landscape-level units within the state that share similar assemblages of physiography, vegetation, soils, and climate, and are used here since they relate more closely to the distribution of vegetation types in the state than do politically based counties. Nomenclature follows Anderson (1990) except for S. brevifolium (Flatberg 1992b), S. majus subsp. norvegicum (Flatberg 1987),

and S. viride (Crosby et al. 1999).

RESULTS

Examination of herbarium material revealed 233 unicate specimens representing 51 county and 4 state records not recorded by



Figure 2. New Hampshire county maps depicting collection site location and intensity over time with a. pre-1900 collection sites; b. 1901–1990 collection sites; c. post 1990 collection sites (two of these sites were not collected by the authors).

Andrews (1906) or Allen (1992). Our collections further added 213 county and 11 state records. Figure 2 depicts the concentration of historical collections in the White Mountain region prior to 1900, the relatively low number of scattered collection sites visited during the subsequent 89 years (1901–1990), and the comparatively even distribution of recent collections. In the Appendix we have documented forty-three species and two subspecies of *Sphagnum* in New Hampshire. Fifteen species and one subspecies are new state records. These taxa are: *S. andersonianum*, *S. bartlettianum*, *S. brevifolium*, *S. centrale*, *S. compactum*, *S. fallax*, *S. flavicomans*, *S. flexuosum*, *S. henryense*, *S. majus* subsp. norvegicum, *S. platyphyllum*, *S. rubellum*, *S. subfulvum*, *S. subtile*, *S. torreyanum*, and *S. viride*.

Species diversity is relatively evenly distributed throughout the counties, with the mountainous Coös, Carroll, and Grafton Coun-

ties being the most diverse and Cheshire County the least. Eight species are documented in all counties. The fourteen species reported from nine or more counties likely represent the most common species of *Sphagnum* occurring in the state. Five species are apparently rare in New Hampshire, based on infrequent collection of these species both within New Hampshire and in the nearby

250Rhodora

[Vol. 103

states of New York and Vermont (Andrus 1980; Andrus et al. 1994; McQueen 1992). Two of these species, S. andersonianum and S. brevifolium, are fairly recent segregates and their apparent rarity may be partly due to earlier collectors overlooking them. Three of the five rare species are restricted to acidic subalpine bogs and fens of the White Mountain subsection.

Collection intensity and diversity within ecoregions indicate that the White Mountain region exhibits the highest diversity with 39 species present (Figure 3; Table 1). In contrast, the North Connecticut River Valley exhibited extremely low Sphagnum diversity (7 species), accompanied by few collections, low proportion of surveyed wetland community types, and low total area (Table 1). The Vermont Piedmont, Gulf of Maine Coastal Lowland, and Connecticut Lakes subsections also have relatively low Sphagnum species richness (18-20 species) and collection intensity. Of these, only the Connecticut Lakes subsection had Sphagnum collections from more than 50% of the wetland community types.

DISCUSSION

Since the Andrews (1906) list of New England Sphagnaceae, there have been many changes in species concepts in this family. For instance, Sphagnum cymbifolium Ehrh. (Andrews 1906) likely encompasses specimens of S. centrale, S. henryense, and S. palustre. Although many species in Andrews' (1906) list can be traced to synonymies under the current nomenclature, S. subnitens Russow & Warnst. and S. tenerum (Austin) Warnst. cannot, and therefore were excluded from our tally of species reported by Andrews from New Hampshire. Sphagnum subnitens, as it is understood today, does not occur in eastern North America. Andrews' and Warnstorf's concepts of S. subnitens included Sphagnum species as varied as S. angermanicum, S. flavicomans, and S. subfulvum (Crum 1984; Andrus pers. obs.). Sphagnum tenerum (Austin) Warnst. was misapplied to include not only the current S. tenerum Sull. & Lesq., but also forms of S. capillifolium and S. russowii. We have not seen any specimens of S. tenerum from New Hampshire, even though it was reported (by prior taxonomic concepts) on Andrews' (1906) list.

Our Sphagnum species concept is narrower than those presented by Crum (1984, 1997), especially within the sections Acu-



Figure 3. Map of New Hampshire with biophysical subsection outlines

showing all known surveyed sites in the state.

tifolia and *Cuspidata*. Employing Crum's (1984, 1997) broader species concept would result in recognition of 34 species and 4 varieties of *Sphagnum* in the state. There are many reasons for being cautious about species delimitation in this genus. For in-

Summary of subsection area, wetland diversity, collection intensity, and Sphagnum diversity in New Hampshire. Table 1.

Subsect

Connecticut Lakes Mahoosuc-Rangeley Vermont Piedmont White Mountains Northern Connecticu Sunapee Uplands Sebago-Ossipee Hill Hillsborough Inland Gulf of Maine Coast Gulf of Maine Coast

tion	Area (km ²)	Wetland Types (primary)	Wetland Types Surveyed	Sphagnum Species Documented	Unicate Species Records
	1500	17(17)	11	19	38
y Lakes	2502	23 (21)	15	31	145
	771	19(17)	7	18	36
	3211	22 (19)	17	39(1)	269
ut River Valley	1049	19(13)	3	7	7
	4206	20 (16)	14	29	99
lls and Plain	3630	28 (18)	21	34(1)	323
Hills and Plains	2463	20 (15)	12	26	112
stal Plain	3678	27 (20)	18	32	351
stal Lowland	762	24 (18)	10	20	40

252

0

stance, Såstad (1999) found that the genetic and phenotypic variability in S. fallax and S. isoviitae overlapped, and thus did not support a distinction between these two species. In addition to phenotypic plasticity within a species, hybridization has also been reported within section Acutifolia (Cronberg 1994). Although we are aware of the problems associated with a narrow species concept, we feel that it is the most appropriate way to report the full diversity of Sphagnum species in the state at this time. Continued studies utilizing molecular characters and phenotypic plasticity experiments may lead to the clarification and refinement of Sphagnum species circumscription. New Hampshire ranks sixth highest of the 50 states in Sphagnum diversity, even though it is only 44th in size (24,097 km²). Of the six smaller states, only New Jersey has more species of Sphagnum with 46 species currently reported (Andrus et al. 1994). Many New Hampshire sphagna have boreal centers of distribution, while others such as S. palustre, S. henryense, S. recurvum, and S. bartlettianum have more southerly distributions.

We attribute New Hampshire's high diversity of *Sphagnum* to the state's high diversity of wetland communities. These communities reflect a broad range of climatic, geologic, and environmental characteristics at the boreal-temperate-coastal interface. By way of comparison, Minnesota, another state at the borealtemperate transition, has 40 times the wetland area of New Hampshire, but only 30 *Sphagnum* species (Andrus, unpubl. data), probably because it lacks the elevation range and coastal proximity of New Hampshire. Specifically, the presence of calcareous substrates, subalpine peatlands, and a moderately well developed coastal plain contributes significantly to *Sphagnum* diversity within the state compared to other states.

Mosses are often undercollected in comparison to vascular plants, and this has certainly been the case in New Hampshire. In addition, collection of *Sphagnum* by non-experts usually results in large numbers of collections of a limited number of common species (e.g., *S. girgensohnii*), while neglecting the less common though perhaps equally widespread species (e.g., *S. compactum*). Therefore, without additional careful collecting by knowledgeable bryologists, many widespread, but less common species will appear to be more rare than they actually are. For example, Andrus et al. (1992) indicated a number of sphagna as being rare in Alaska based upon herbarium data, but several sub-

Rhodora

[Vol. 103

sequent collecting trips to the state revealed that nearly all of these apparent rarities were the result of undercollection.

Although it is likely that additional county records will accrue, it seems unlikely that many new state records will be added to this list, since collection efforts have been well distributed within the state. Although collection intensity is not entirely even (Table 1), collection sites include all wetland types and biophysical subsections present in the state (Figure 3). Based on known Sphagnum distributions, the most likely species to be found in future searches of the state include S. annulatum H. Lindb. ex Warnst. and S. tenellum (Brid.) Bory. Several coastal species of Sphagnum that occur in the bordering states of Maine and Massachusetts also may yet be found in New Hampshire's coastal region. These include S. austinii Sull. in Austin, S. molle Sull., S. tenerum, and S. macrophyllum Brid. Natural areas preservation efforts in New Hampshire should integrate information on bryophytes. The distribution of rare peat mosses can be used directly to identify priority wetlands worthy of preservation. In addition, Sphagnum and other bryophytes are sensitive indicators of wetland conditions and should be used in the definition and description of community types. This, in turn, will increase the likelihood that representative community types selected for protection will include the full diversity of Sphagnum species present in the state and the range of conditions they require for persistence. The most threatened wetland types in New Hampshire with respect to Sphagnum species are rich (calcareous) fens, coastal wetlands, and subalpine wetlands. Sphagna restricted to these wetland types include the rich fen species S. contortum and S. warnstorfii, the coastal species S. flavicomans and S. torreyanum, and the subarctic-boreal species S. lindbergii. Rich fen species are largely restricted to northern New Hampshire and to calcareous glacial deposits within the Connecticut River watershed. New Hampshire calcareous fens are relatively small (< 1 to 5) ha), and many are former pastures (Sperduto and Gilman 1995). Loss of fens through flooding by beavers and humans, succession to more woody composition, and development are conservation concerns. Almost all of New Hampshire's rich fens are privately owned. New Hampshire's coastal wetlands are threatened by continuing development and accompanying drainage. Subalpine sites need to be guarded against degradation by hikers.

Future survey efforts should focus on several current priorities: 1) verification of historical records, especially for *Sphagnum brevifolium*, to clarify whether or not these species are extant in the state; 2) collection efforts in undercollected geographic areas; and 3) specific surveys for rare peat mosses and surveys in rare community types.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. This paper is dedicated to the memory

of Cyrus McQueen, who rekindled interest in Sphagnum species documentation in New Hampshire by organizing the 1994 A. Le Roy Andrews Foray and by his obvious love for the genus. We thank Ben Kimball and Sara Cairns for preparing the figures. Considerable fieldwork was made possible through funding provided by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency for statewide wetland inventories of bogs, fens, and swamps. Part of the collecting for this list was supported by the U.S. Forest Service for bryophyte surveys at six Research Natural Areas within the White Mountain National Forest. Dave Funk's facilitation of this work is greatly appreciated. Tim Fahey also provided encouragement and funding through a grant from the National Science Foundation for the Long-term Ecological Research project at Hubbard Brook Experimental Forest. Robert Dirig of the Bailey Hortorium at Cornell University graciously and efficiently handled frequent loans. We thank Ilka Bauer, Chris Williams, and two anonymous reviewers for commenting on the manuscript.

LITERATURE CITED

ALLEN, B. 1992. New Hampshire mosses. Evansia 9: 33–41.ANDERSON, L. E. 1990. A checklist of *Sphagnum* in North America north of Mexico. Bryologist 93: 500–501.

ANDREWS, L. A. 1906. Preliminary list of New England plants XVIII: Sphagnaceae. Rhodora 8: 62–65.

ANDRUS, R. E. 1980. Sphagnaceae of New York State. New York State Mus. Bull. 442, Albany, NY.

——, E. F. KARLIN, AND S. S. TALBOT. 1992. Rare and endangered *Sphag*num species in North America. Biol. Conservation 59: 247–254.

- ——, W. R. TOWN, AND E. F. KARLIN. 1994. New York State Sphagnum revisions. Bull. Torrey Bot. Club 121: 69–72.
- CLEAVITT, N. L. 1996. Bryophyte survey of six Research Natural Areas within the White Mountains National Forest, New Hampshire. Gen. Tech. Rep. NE-225, U.S.D.A. Forest Service, Northeastern Forest Exp. Sta., Radnor, PA.

Rhodora

[Vol. 103

Brook Experimental Forest. Evansia 13: 67–74.

CRONBERG, N. 1994. Genetic diversity and reproduction in Sphagnum (Bryophyta): Isozyme studies in S. capillifolium and related species. PhD. dissertation, Lund Univ., Lund, Sweden.

CROSBY, M. R., R. E. MAGILL, B. ALLEN, AND S. HE. 1999. A Checklist of the Mosses. Missouri Botanical Garden, St. Louis, MO.

CRUM, H. 1984. Sphagnopsida. Sphagnaceae. North American Flora. Series II. Part II. The New York Botanic Garden, Bronx, NY.

- ——. 1997. A seasoned view of North American Sphagnum. J. Hattori Bot. Lab. 82: 77-98.
- DAHL, T. E. 1990. Wetland losses in the United States, 1780s to 1980s. U.S. Dept. of Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, DC.
- FLATBERG, K. I. 1987. Taxonomy of Sphagnum majus (Russ.) C. Jens. Kon
 - gel. Norske Vidensk. Selsk. Skr. (Trondheim) 2: 1-42.
- ———. 1992a. The European taxa in the Sphagnum recurvum complex. 1. Sphagnum isoviitae sp. nov. J. Bryol. 17: 1-13.
- ——. 1992b. The European taxa in the Sphagnum recurvum complex. 2. Amended descriptions of Sphagnum brevifolium and S. fallax. Lindbergia 17: 96–110.
- HOLCOMBE, J. W. 1979. Sphagnum angermanicum in New Hampshire. Bryologist 82: 616-618.
- KEYS, J. E. AND C. A. CARPENTER. 1995. Ecological Units of the Eastern United States: First Approximation. U.S.D.A. Forest Service, Atlanta, GA.

MCQUEEN, C. B. 1992. The bryophytes of Vermont. Evansia 9: 65-88. REID, A. M. 1987. Pioneer New England bryologists: A prosopography. Occas. Pap. Farlow Herb. Cryptog. Bot. 19: 1-17.

SASTAD, S. M. 1999. Genetic and environmental sources of variation in leaf morphology of S. fallax and S. isoviitae (Bryopsida): Comparison of experiments conducted in the field and laboratory. Canad. J. Bot. 77: 1-10.

- SPERDUTO, D. 1996. Natural communities in New Hampshire, pp. 33-42. In: J. Taylor, T. D. Lee, and L. F. McCarthy, eds., New Hampshire's Living Legacy: The Biodiversity of the Granite State. New Hampshire Fish and Game Dept., Concord, NH.
- ———. 1997. A preliminary classification of natural communities in New Hampshire's coastal lowland ecoregion. Unpubl. rep. submitted to Office of State Planning, New Hampshire Natural Heritage Inventory, Dept. of Resources and Economic Devel., Concord, NH.
 - -. 2000. A classification of the natural communities of New Hampshire.
 - New Hampshire Natural Heritage Inventory, Dept. of Resources and Economic Devel., Concord, NH.
 - —— AND A. GILMAN, 1995. Calcareous fens and riverside seeps in New Hampshire. Rep. submitted to the Environmental Protection Agency, New Hampshire Natural Heritage Inventory, Dept. of Resources and Economic Devel., Concord, NH.

Cleavitt et al.—Sphagnum Checklist 257 2001]

shire. New Hampshire Natural Heritage Inventory, Dept. of Resources and Economic Devel., Concord, NH. VITT, D. H. 1994. An overview of factors that influence the development of Canadian peatlands. Mem. Entomol. Soc. Canada 169: 7-20.

WEATHERBEE, P. B. AND G. E. CROW. 1990. Phytogeography of Berkshire County, Massachusetts. Rhodora 92: 232-256.

APPENDIX

CHECKLIST OF SPHAGNUM IN NEW HAMPSHIRE

Collection numbers are provided when available, otherwise a comma and a year follow the collector. We have included all numbers from Eaton and Faxon S.B.A. and Faxon N.A.S. that were examined, since these specimens were widely distributed and are widely available for reference. However, more recent collections precede each of the exsiccatae in the list because the exsiccatae specimens were collected over 100 years ago and the species may no longer occur in these historical locations. Species that are known from only two or fewer collection sites are marked with an asterisk.

Habitat comments include general nutrient status, microtopographic position, and peatland types where the species are found in New Hampshire. Habitat comments are informed in part by relationships of Sphagnum species to plant communities and pH levels described by Sperduto et al. (2000). Nutrient level terminology corresponds to the following pH ranges: oligotrophic (pH 3.5–4.5), weakly minerotrophic (pH 4–5), moderately minerotrophic (pH 4.5–6), minerotrophic (pH 6–7.3), and strongly minerotrophic (pH 7.3+). Microtopographic terms relate to relative height above the water table. Along the gradient from below the water table and increasing in height from the water table, the terms are: pool, carpet, lawn, hummock side, and hummock top (Vitt 1994).

Sphagnum affine Ren. & Cardot – Weakly to moderately minerotrophic. Carpets and low hummocks in sedge fens, tall shrub fens and thickets, and various swamps including black ash seepage swamps. Belknap Sperduto 5583с (вн); Carroll Sperduto 5502 (вн); Cheshire Nichols 194 (вн); Coös Eaton & Faxon S.B.A. 153 (вн); Grafton Cleavitt 543 (вн), Faxon N.A.S. 25, 28 (вн); Hillsborough Cleavitt 939 (вн); Merrimack Cleavitt 1012 (вн); Rockingham Cleavitt 966 (вн); Strafford Cleavitt 965 (вн); Sullivan Andrus 7669 (BING).

- *S. andersonianum Andrus Weakly minerotrophic. Low hummocks and hummock sides in very poor ericaceous fens. Grafton Cleavitt 1519 (вн). *S. angermanicum Melin – Weakly minerotrophic. Forms small patches and loose carpets, often intermixed with other Sphagnum species in montane and lowland sedge, shrub-graminoid, and dwarf-medium shrub poor fens. Carroll Andrus 9216 (BING): Grafton Cleavitt 1517 (BH), Holcombe 10 (BH).
- S. angustifolium (C. Jens. ex Russow) C. Jens. in Tolf Oligotrophic to moderately minerotrophic. Carpets and hummock sides, extending far-



[Vol. 103

ther up hummocks in mixture with hummock-forming *Sphagnum* species, in open carpets, sedge, shrub-graminoid, and dwarf-medium heath shrub fens and bogs. Belknap *Sperduto* 4974 (BH); Carroll *Cleavitt* 1023 (BH); Cheshire *Nichols* 355 (BH); Coös *Cleavitt* 1527 (BH); Grafton *Cleavitt* 735 (BH), *Eaton & Faxon S.B.A.* 110, 111 (BH); Hillsborough *Cleavitt* 611 (BH); Merrimack *Nichols* 128 (BH); Rockingham *Town NH80* (BING); Strafford *Cleavitt* 956 (BH).

- S. bartlettianum Warnst. Oligotrophic to weakly minerotrophic. Carpets and low hummocks in dwarf heath shrub bogs and poor fens. Carroll Sperduto 5977a (вн); Cheshire Nichols 191 (вн); Coös Sperduto 5900 (вн); Grafton Sperduto 5724 (вн); Hillsborough Cleavitt 613 (вн); Rockingham Andrus 9058 (вімб); Strafford Nichols 060 (вн).
- *S. brevifolium (Lindb. ex Braithw.) C. Jens. Weakly minerotrophic. Carpets mixed with other Sphagnum species in poor fens. Coös Eaton & Faxon S.B.A. 82 in part (BH).
- S. capillifolium (Ehrh.) Hedw. Oligotrophic to minerotrophic. Dense carpets to tall hummocks in shrub fens and bogs, including exposed alpine and subalpine bogs. Belknap Sperduto 5600 (вн); Carroll Cleavitt 1160 (вн); Cheshire Cleavitt 957 (вн); Coös Cleavitt 1529 (вн), Eaton & Faxon S.B.A. 41, 42, 47 (вн); Grafton Cleavitt 736 (вн); Hillsborough Cleavitt 971 (вн); Merrimack Cleavitt 614 (вн); Rockingham Cleavitt 967 (вн); Strafford Cleavitt 962 (вн); Sullivan Nichols 332 (вн).
- S. centrale C. Jens. in Arnell & C. Jens. Minerotrophic. Pure carpets and low hummocks in sedge and conifer fens. Carroll Cleavitt 1161 (BH), Faxon N.A.S. 47 (BH); Coös Cleavitt 1030 (BH); Grafton Cleavitt 1031 (BH); Hillsborough Sperduto 5584 (BH); Merrimack Cleavitt 615 (BH); Rockingham Andrus 9050 (BING): Strafford Hodgdon, 1956 (NHA); Sullivan Andrus 7686 (BING).
 S. compactum DC. in Lam. & DC. – Oligotrophic. In New Hampshire the species is mainly a pioneer and can form dense carpets in seepy places over exposed bedrock, sand, or bare peat. Coös Pease & Andrews, 1918 (YU); Grafton Cleavitt 1175, 1522 (BH); Strafford Sperduto 5839 (BH).
 S. contortum Schultz – Moderately to strongly minerotrophic. Loose carpets in constantly moist microsites within calcareous fens and flarks. Belknap Sperduto 4995b (BH); Coös Cleavitt 1431 (BH); Grafton Cleavitt 1032 (BH), Eaton & Faxon S.B.A. 138, 139 (BH); Rockingham Nichols 049 (BH); Sullivan Andrus 7683 (BING).
- S. cuspidatum Ehrh. ex Hoffm. Oligotrophic to weakly minerotrophic. Often with S. majus in pools or carpets in open microsites of bogs and poor fens with sparse to dwarf shrub cover. Belknap Sperduto 5583a (BH); Carroll Sperduto 5012 (BH); Cheshire Nichols 198 (BH); Coös Sperduto 5753 (BH); Grafton Cleavitt 529 (BH); Hillsborough Cleavitt 616 (BH); Merrimack Cleavitt 617 (BH); Rockingham Town NH67 (BING); Strafford Hodgdon & Barrett 15,505 (NHA); Sullivan Nichols 324 (BH).
 S. fallax (Klinggr.) Klinggr. Oligotrophic to weakly minerotrophic. Often extensive pure carpets and hummock bases in a wide variety of poor fen and bog habitats; absent from alpine bogs. Belknap Sperduto 4973 (BH); Carroll Cleavitt 1019 (BH); Cheshire Nichols 209 (BH); Coös Cleavitt 1018 (BH); Grafton Cleavitt 530 (BH); Hillsborough Cleavitt 618 (BH);

Merrimack Town NH8 (BING); Rockingham Andrus 9025 (BING); Strafford Hodgdon, 1956 (NHA); Sullivan Andrus 7679 (BING).

- S. fimbriatum Wils. in Wils. & Hook. f. Minerotrophic. Low to medium hummocks in sedge and shrub-graminoid fens, tall shrub fens and thickets, and margins of bogs and poor fens. Belknap Sperduto 4995a (ВН); Cheshire Nichols 193 (ВН); Coös Andrews, 1917 (ВН); Grafton Cleavitt 531 (ВН); Hillsborough Hodgdon & Roberts 10,025 (NHA); Merrimack Cleavitt 619 (ВН); Rockingham Town NH30 (ВІNG); Strafford Cleavitt 963 (ВН); Sullivan Andrus 7668 (ВІNG).
- S. flavicomans (Cardot) Warnst. Oligotrophic to weakly minerotrophic. Medium to tall hummocks in bogs and poor fens. Belknap Sperduto 5603 (BH); Coös Austin, 1872 (NY); Rockingham Town NH85 (BING), Sperduto 5195 (вн). S. flexuosum Dozy & Molk. – Oligotrophic to moderately minerotrophic. Carpets in shrub and shrub-graminoid fens and fen margins. Belknap Sperduto 5588 (вн); Carroll Sperduto 5791 (вн); Cheshire Nichols 354 (BH); Coös Eaton & Faxon S.B.A. 108, 109 (BH); Hillborough Nichols 271 (вн); Merrimack Cleavitt 620 (вн); Rockingham Andrus 9060 (BING); Sullivan Andrus 7666 (BING). S. fuscum (Schimp.) Klinggr. – Oligotrophic. Medium to tall dense hummocks in a wide range of habitats, although usually in open microsites and most common in alpine bogs and dwarf to tall shrub fens and bogs. Belknap Sperduto 5599 (BH); Carroll Cleavitt 1027 (BH); Coös Hodgdon 11,539 (NHA); Grafton Cleavitt 732 (BH), Eaton & Faxon S.B.A. 33, 34 (BH); Hillsborough Andrus 9036 (BING); Merrimack Nichols 151 (BH); Rockingham Town NH78 (BING); Strafford Cleavitt 961 (ВН); Sullivan Nichols 333 (вн). S. girgensohnii Russow – Oligotrophic to minerotrophic. Carpet patches in swamps and wet conifer forest, carpets to low hummocks in alpine bogs, and along the margins of poor sedge fens. Carroll Cleavitt 1024 (BH); Cheshire Bechtel 033 (BH); Coös Cleavitt 1236 (BH), Eaton & Faxon S.B.A. 1, 5, 7, 9, 10 (BH), Faxon N.A.S. 24 (BH); Grafton Cleavitt 532 (BH), Eaton & Faxon S.B.A. 4, 8 (BH), Faxon N.A.S. 23 (BH); Hillsborough Nichols 019 (вн); Merrimack Cleavitt 622 (вн); Rockingham Cleavitt 968 (BH); Strafford Hodgdon 12,252 (NHA); Sullivan Andrus 7676 (BING). S. henryense Warnst. - Weakly to moderately minerotrophic. Low to medium hummocks in a variety of shrub, sedge, and shrub-graminoid poor to intermediate fen and swamp habitats. Belknap Cleavitt 1512 (BH); Carroll Andrus 9255 (BING); Cheshire Cleavitt 928 (BH); Coös Bartlett 1210 (вн); Grafton Cleavitt 1524 (вн); Hillsborough Cleavitt 940 (вн); Merrimack Cleavitt 623 (вн); Rockingham Cleavitt 624 (вн); Strafford Hodgdon 12,251 (NHA); Sullivan Andrus 7670 (BING). S. inundatum Russow – Weakly minerotrophic. Thick carpets in poor to intermediate fens. Belknap Sperduto 5595 (вн); Carroll Andrus 9230 (BING); Grafton Sperduto 4253 (вн); Hillsborough Cleavitt 937 (вн); Rockingham Town NH53 (BING); Sullivan Andrus 7682 (BING). S. isoviitae Flatberg - Oligotrophic to weakly minerotrophic. Carpets in a wide range of open microsites in poor to intermediate fens. Belknap

Rhodora

[Vol. 103

Sperduto 5471 (вн); Carroll Sperduto 5027 (вн); Coös Sperduto 5757 (вн); Grafton Sperduto 4923 (вн), Hillsborough Nichols 372 (вн); Merrimack Cleavitt 625 (вн); Rockingham Andrus 9025 (вімд); Strafford Nichols 061 (вн); Sullivan Nichols 329 (вн).

- S. lescurii Sull. in Gray Weakly to moderately minerotrophic. Ruderal species found in a wide range of habitats, particularly habitats with fluctuating water levels, mostly in sprawling patches in rock seeps and lake and streamside sedge or shrub-graminoid fens. Belknap Carter, 1902 (ВН); Carroll Cleavitt 1169 (ВН); Cheshire Nichols 197 (ВН); Coös Sperduto 5185 (ВН); Grafton Cleavitt 1405 (ВН), Eaton & Faxon S.B.A. 145, 146 (ВН); Hillsborough Cleavitt 938 (ВН); Merrimack Cleavitt 627 (ВН); Rockingham Town NH57 (ВІNG); Sullivan Andrus 7682 (ВІNG).
- *S. lindbergii Schimp. in Lindb. Weakly minerotrophic. Carpets in high elevation heath balds and alpine bogs. Coös Cleavitt 1220 (вн), Eaton & Faxon S.B.A. 82, 83 (вн).
- S. magellanicum Brid. Oligotrophic to weakly minerotrophic. Carpets and hummocks in a wide variety of peatlands, especially common in open dwarf to tall shrub fens and bogs and conifer fens. Belknap Sperduto 5601 (вн); Carroll Cleavitt 1022 (вн); Cheshire Nichols 196 (вн); Coös Cleavitt 1530 (вн), Faxon N.A.S. 28, 33 (вн); Grafton Cleavitt 1359 (вн), Faxon N.A.S. 29, 35 (вн); Hillsborough Cleavitt 628 (вн); Merrimack Nichols 109 (вн); Rockingham Town NH58 (вімд); Strafford Hodgdon & Barrett 15,503 (мна); Sullivan Fujiyama, 1918 (вн).
- S. majus (Russow) C. Jens. subsp. majus Oligotrophic. Pools and lawns in very poor fens and bogs. Carroll Sperduto 5499 (вн); Grafton Eaton & Faxon S.B.A. 101 (вн); Hillsborough Sperduto 4901 (вн); Rockingham Sperduto 4915 (вн); Strafford Sperduto 5702a (вн).
- S. majus subsp. norvegicum Flatberg Weakly minerotrophic. Lawns in poor sedge fens and pond margins. Carroll Andrus 9214 (вімд); Coös Eaton and Faxon S.B.A. 100 (вн); Grafton Cleavitt 1511 (вн).
- S. palustre L. Weakly to moderately minerotrophic. Carpets and hummocks in fens and swamps. Belknap Sperduto 5481 (вн); Carroll Cleavitt 1156 (вн); Cheshire Bechtel 034 (вн); Coös Sperduto 4871 (вн); Grafton Sperduto 4924a (вн); Hillsborough Andrus 9035 (вімд); Merrimack Allen 10,046 (мо); Rockingham Cleavitt 629 (вн); Strafford Cleavitt 964 (вн); Sullivan Nichols 334 (вн).
- S. papillosum Lindb. Weakly minerotrophic. Thick carpets and low hummocks in open microsites in dwarf to medium heath shrub fens. Belknap Sperduto 5587 (вн); Carroll Sperduto 5856a (вн); Cheshire Nichols 228 (вн); Coös Cleavitt 1407 (вн); Grafton Cleavitt 734 (вн), Eaton & Faxon S.B.A. 163 (вн); Hillsborough Cleavitt 597 (вн); Merrimack Nichols 378 (вн); Rockingham Town NH28 (вімд); Strafford Cleavitt 914 (вн).
 S. platyphyllum (Lindb. ex Braithw.) Sull. ex Warnst. Weakly to moderately minerotrophic. Often found in sprawling patches alongside S. lescurii on shores of ponds and lakes, along streams, and margins of sedge or shrubgraminoid fens. Carroll Andrus 9229 (вімд); Hillsborough Cleavitt 937 (вн); Rockingham Nichols 033 (вн), Town NH25 (вімд).
 S. pulchrum (Lindb. ex Braithw.) Warnst. Oligotrophic to weakly minerotrophic. Often founding mats but also in lawns or carpets in poor

fens among sedges and usually with sparse or dwarf shrub cover. Belknap *Sperduto 5474b* (вн); Carroll *Cleavitt 1157* (вн); Coös *Faxon N.A.S.* 49 (вн); Grafton *Sperduto 5726* (вн); Hillsborough *Nichols 278* (вн). *S. pylaesii* Brid. – Oligotrophic to weakly minerotrophic. Growing in prostrate to somewhat erect mats over rock or submerged in fen pools. Carroll *Farlow Reliquiae Farlowianae 547* (вн); Grafton *Cleavitt 1518* (вн), *Faxon N.A.S. 35, 39* (вн); Strafford *Sperduto 4909b* (вн).

- S. quinquefarium (Lindb. ex Braithw.) Warnst. Weakly minerotrophic. Carpets in conifer fens and over rock or mineral soil at higher elevations. Coös Andrews, 1917 (вн), Sperduto 5510 (вн); Grafton Cleavitt 652 (вн), Eaton & Faxon S.B.A. 36, 37, 39 (вн), Faxon N.A.S. 39, 43 (вн).
 S. recurvum P. Beauv. Weakly minerotrophic. Carpets in various poor fen habitats, typically at margins of peatlands including lagg and pond border situations. Belknap Sperduto 5493 (вн); Carroll Cleavitt 1028 (вн); Cheshire Cleavitt 919 (вн); Coös Cleavitt 1270 (вн); Hillsborough Cleavitt 631 (вн); Merrimack Sperduto 6079 (вн); Rockingham Cleavitt 630 (вн); Strafford Nichols 103 (вн).
- S. *riparium* Ångstr. Weakly minerotrophic. Lawns at the margins of bogs and poor fens. Belknap Sperduto 5220 (вн); Carroll Sperduto 5016 (вн); Coös Cleavitt 1520 (вн), Eaton & Faxon S.B.A. 86, 87 (вн), Faxon N.A.S. 45, 46 (вн).
- S. rubellum Wils. Oligotrophic to weakly minerotrophic. Dense carpets (often floating) to low hummocks in open dwarf to medium heath shrub bogs and poor fens. Belknap Sperduto 5605 (BH); Carroll Cleavitt 1171 (BH); Cheshire Nichols 195 (BH); Coös Sperduto 4880 (BH); Grafton Cleavitt 586 (BH); Hillsborough Cleavitt 632 (BH); Merrimack Town NH5 (BING); Strafford Sperduto 4906 (BH); Sullivan Nichols 321 (BH).
 S. russowii Warnst. Oligotropic to minerotrophic. Carpets and hummock sides, often mixed with other species in poor shrub fens, alpine and subalpine bogs, and wet sites in conifer forests. Belknap Sperduto 5583b (BH); Carroll Cleavitt 1021 (BH); Coös Cleavitt 1020 (BH), Faxon N.A.S. 55, 56 (BH); Grafton Cleavitt 581 (BH), Eaton & Faxon S.B.A. 19 (BH); Hillsborough Cleavitt 971 (BH); Merrimack Quillinan 94-101-35 (BH); Rockingham Cleavitt 633 (BH); Strafford Sperduto 5710 (BH).
- S. squarrosum Crome Moderately minerotrophic. Carpets in conifer fens, at margins of poor fens, and in seepage swamps, forest seeps and other shady, moist situations. Carroll Sperduto 5015 (вн); Coös Engstrom, 1996 (вн), Eaton & Faxon S.B.A. 67, 69, 70, 71 (вн); Grafton Cleavitt 1406 (вн), Faxon N.A.S. 53, 59, 60 (вн); Hillsborough Cleavitt 969 (вн); Merrimack Cleavitt 634 (вн); Rockingham Sperduto 5342 (вн); Strafford Hodgdon 14,594 (NHA); Sullivan Andrus 7680 (віло).
- *S. subfulvum Sjørs Strongly minerotrophic. Hummocks in open sites in intermediate to rich fens. Sullivan Andrus 7667, 7671 (BING).
- S. subsecundum Nees in Sturm Minerotrophic. Carpets to low hummocks along open margins of poor fens. Belknap Sperduto 5590 (вн); Carroll Sperduto 5494a (вн), Faxon N.A.S. 67 (вн); Coös Eaton & Faxon S.B.A. 128, 135 (вн); Grafton Eaton & Faxon S.B.A. 133, 136 (вн); Sullivan Andrus 7685 (вімб).
- S. subtile (Russow) Warnst. Oligotrophic to weakly minerotrophic. In low

Rhodora

[Vol. 103

hummocks in shaded microsites of poor conifer fens and bogs, including montane sloping fens. Carroll *Andrus 9243* (BING); Coös *Sperduto 4877* (BH); Grafton *Cleavitt 1528* (BH); Merrimack *Nichols 131* (BH); Rockingham *Town NH16* (BING); Sullivan *Andrus 7677* (BING).

- S. teres (Schimp.) Angstr. in Hartm. Moderately to strongly minerotrophic. Carpets to hummocks in intermediate to rich fens and seepage forest. Carroll Andrus 9267 (вімд); Coös Cleavitt 1029 (вн); Grafton Cleavitt 737 (вн); Sullivan Andrus 7680 (вімд).
- S. torreyanum Sull. Weakly minerotropic. Pools or lawns in poor fens with sparse and dwarf shrub cover or submerged along lake margins. Belknap Sperduto 5604 (вн); Carroll Cleavitt 1344 (вн); Cheshire Nichols 230 (вн); Coös Sperduto 5896 (вн); Hillsborough Sperduto 5610 (вн), Cherrington, 1904 (вн); Merrimack Town NH2 (вімд); Rockingham Cleavitt 635 (вн); Sullivan Nichols 330 (вн).
- S. viride Flatberg Weakly minerotrophic. Pools and lawns in poor fens. Carroll Cleavitt 1026 (вн); Hillsborough Andrus 9031 (вімб); Merrimack Town NH12 (вімб); Rockingham Andrus 9029 (вімб).
- S. warnstorfii Russow Moderately to strongly minerotrophic. Carpets and hummocks in open calcareous sedge fens, seepage swamps, and other minerotrophic fen and swamp situations. Carroll Sperduto 5768 (вн); Coös Sperduto 4392 (вн); Grafton Sperduto 4391 (вн), Eaton & Faxon S.B.A. 23, 28 (вн).
- S. wulfianum Girg. Minerotrophic. Carpets and loose hummocks in shady microsites of treed fens and moist conifer forests of central and northern New Hampshire. Carroll Sperduto 6191 (вн); Coös Cleavitt 985 (вн), Eaton & Faxon S.B.A. 73 (вн); Grafton Sperduto 6192 (вн), Eaton &

Faxon S.B.A. 74, 75 (BH).